

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
EASTERN DIVISION

PATRICIA J. GIBSON, )  
v. )  
Plaintiff, )  
WESTPOINT STEVENS, INC., *et al.*, )  
Defendants. )  
CASE NO. 3:06-cv-974-MEF

## ORDER

This cause is before the Court on Plaintiff's Objection to Uniform Scheduling Order (Doc. # 11). Upon consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the Scheduling Order (Doc. # 10) is VACATED.

The parties are hereby reminded of the obligation, imposed by Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to confer and to develop a proposed discovery plan. The parties shall file an amended Rule 26(f) report containing the discovery plan as soon as practicable but not later than **February 9, 2007**.

The longstanding practice in this district is that dispositive motions shall be filed no later than 90 days prior to the pretrial date. If the parties seek to vary from that schedule, they should present, in the plan, specific case-related reasons for the requested variance.

This case will be set for trial before the undersigned judge during one of that judge's regularly scheduled civil trial terms. The pretrial date is normally set within four to six weeks of a scheduled trial term. The dates of each judge's civil trial terms are available on

the court's website located at <http://almd.uscourts.gov> in the civil case information section.

The court may or may not hold a scheduling conference before issuing a scheduling order. If the court holds a scheduling conference, counsel may participate in the scheduling conference by conference call.

The scheduling order entered by the court will follow the form of the Uniform Scheduling Order adopted by the judges of this court. The Uniform Scheduling Order is also available on the court's website.

The report of the parties should comply with Form 35 of the Appendix of Forms to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

DONE this the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of January, 2007.

/s/ Mark E. Fuller  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE